

Subject: ADM File No. 2004-55 (MCR 3.211)
From: Chris Campbell <clcampbl@traverse.com>
Date: Wed, 16 Mar 2005 14:13:17 -0500
To: msc-clerk@courts.mi.gov

*Tried to e-mail (got
address wrong -
so here 'tis.*

This is a comment on proposed revision of MCR 3.211.

The requirement of proposed subparagraph (F) regarding a Judgment Information Form seems to duplicate, at least in part, the information required now to be provided at the inception of the case in the Verified Statement (MCR 3.206 (B)).

I suggest that the two forms be merged into one, if possible, or that duplication be avoided.

Also, it might be better to require that much of the information in the proposed Judgment Information Form be placed in the judgment (as is required for most of the information now). If uniformity of presentation is desired, simply mandate that a standard form be attached to and incorporated in each judgment. Only the most confidential information should be provided by way of a second, separate document, and that information could be required in the Verified Statement.

>From the practitioner's standpoint, the fewer mandated filings (especially ones served on only certain parties or others) the better. Management of the mailing becomes more complex as more documents with partial distributions are required. For persons proceeding in pro. per., it is even a greater burden.

Thank you.

Chris Campbell (P25247)
Traverse City, MI

*Legal Lounge of Northern Michigan
207 Grandview Parkway
Ste 103
Traverse City, MI 49684*

